United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,908	12/22/2000	Marc Steven Price	1330.1095	6595
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 01/19/200° LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	NOW ADDRESS OF THE		SALIARD, SHANNON S	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/741,908	PRICE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shannon S. Saliard	3628	

	Shannon S. Saliard	3628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the same o	Appeal. To avoid abai idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the two Months of the Final Rejection. See MPEP 7 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b)	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		,	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t de la NOT alaca de a cadication i	dition for allows	·
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	_	n condition for allowar	ice because.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Layer	
	SUPERVISORY	W. HAYES PATENT EXAMINE	R ,

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no support in the specification for the newly added limitations.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly added limitation would require further search. Furthermore, there is no support in the specification for the limitation.